

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/598,110	06/21/2000	Terry Pullaro	PULT 9188 US	7336	
1688	7590 11/14/2006		EXAM	INER	
POLSTER, LIEDER, WOODRUFF & LUCCHESI 12412 POWERSCOURT DRIVE SUITE 200			HUNTER,	HUNTER, ALVIN A	
ST. LOUIS, MO 63131-3615		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3711	·	

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/598,110	PULLARO, TERRY		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alvin A. Hunter	3711		

	AMITA. Hunter	3/11					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	iress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendme tice of Appeal (with appeal fo e with 37 CFR 1.114. The re	ent, affidavit, or other evide ee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	iter than SIX MONTHS from the b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHI 16.07(f).	mailing date of the final reject EN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding a hortened statutory period for rep than three months after the mai	mount of the fee. The appropriate of the final Off ling date of the final rejection,	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37	(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to	out prior to the date of filing a	a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		ee NOTE below);	•				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or 		ally reducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of fina	ally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		on-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	য় will not be entered, or b) rided below or appended.	☐ will be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 6.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	before or on the date of filing the state of	g a Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> affidavit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under and was not earlier present	appeal and/or appellant fa ed. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims a	after entry is below or attack	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application	ation in condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>	ha s				
10. <u> </u>	\$	EUGENE KIÑ SUPERVISORY PATENT	EXAMINER				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 6 includes language that was not present before the close of prosecution and requires further consideration and/or search.